



## How to Navigate Operational Technology (OT) Cybersecurity in Port Environments

**AAPA WEBINAR** 

June 15, 2021



### Introduction



### **Scott Dickerson**

- Executive Director of MTS-ISAC
- 20+ years Intel, Info Sharing, Cybersecurity
- USCG Cyber Command, Navy Cyber Defense Operations Command, Private Sector Maritime Stakeholders



### **Peter Lund**

- VP of Product Management at Industrial Defender
- 15+ years of experience in IT and OT security
- Worked at KVH Industries





### Agenda

- The Maritime Cyber Threat Landscape
- Potential Impacts of a Cyber Attack
- Information Technology vs. Operational Technology
- Emerging Cybersecurity Compliance Standards for Ports
- OT Cybersecurity Best Practices
- Recommendations & Key Takeaways

## The Maritime Cyber Threat Landscape



### Actors

- State level
- Criminal
- Insiders
- Activists



## Motivations

- Political / Strategic
- Financial / Smuggling
- Revenge / Unintentional
- Ideologocial



## Objectives

- Data, Information
- Funding source
- Smuggling
- Disrupt / Destroy
- Media / Attention





## Potential Impacts of a Cyber Attack Against Maritime OT

### Organizational

- Can place significant strain on multiple teams involved in operations, IT, customer service, etc. - this is an organizational challenge
- Financial and/or reputational impacts

### Supply Chain

- Impacts to both upstream and downstream maritime stakeholders
- Intermodal disruptions as well as impacts to other critical infrastructure

### Safety & Security

- Environmental / hazardous material incidents
- Availability and integrity of cranes, pumps, etc.
- Access controls gates, CCTV, etc.







## IT vs. OT Security: What's the Difference?

- The goal of information technology (IT) security is to protect the confidentiality of data flowing between connected devices. IT devices include:
  - Employee workstations
  - Tablets

- Telecommunications equipment
- Servers in a data center

The goal of operational technology (OT) security is to ensure the availability and integrity of systems that control physical processes. OT devices includes things like:

Power systems

- Cranes
  Building devices
- Programmable logic controllers

Because OT systems are different from traditional IT computing systems, you need to approach cybersecurity differently, too.

- More sensitive to intrusive security methods, like scanning
- Insecure by design- most devices have built-in remote access for vendors and third parties to perform maintenance
- Operate in real-time and can have physical consequences

## **Emerging Cybersecurity Compliance Standards**





### NVIC 01-20



ENISA

Colonial Pipeline incident impacts-new regs will likely affect ports



![](_page_6_Picture_8.jpeg)

### OT Cybersecurity Best Practices

- It all starts with knowing everything about your devices, including:
  - Where they are
  - What's on them
  - What they talk to
  - Who can access them
  - What their vulnerabilities are
  - What a healthy baseline looks like

![](_page_7_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_10.jpeg)

### How Do I Collect OT Asset Information?

### ACTIVE

### Agents

### **Pros:**

- The most comprehensive data collection – identify anything
- Easy to manage centrally
- No credentials required

#### Cons:

 Requires installation and resources on the endpoint

### **Agentless/Native Querying**

#### **Pros:**

- Second most comprehensive data collection method
- Leverages the same collection methods created by the device vendor
- Can be done from a centralized data collector

#### Cons:

 Requires routable connections to device and credentials

### How Do I Collect OT Asset Information?

### PASSIVE

### **Offline Collection**

#### **Pros:**

- Serial/air-gapped assets with no other way to get
- If config file processed, still more accurate than spreadsheets

#### Cons:

- Only as good as last copy of config from device
- Manual work, but can be part normal routine if planned correctly

### **Network Monitoring**

#### **Pros:**

- Quick to deploy if the infrastructure supports it
- Quickly find unknown IP based assets
- Threat Intel

#### Cons:

- Limited ability to collect data
- May require multiple sensors and SPAN/TAP/Mirror Ports in the target networks
- Not comprehensive enough for a compliance program or vulnerability management

## What Else Is Important?

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

Vulnerability identification and management

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

Threat detection capabilities

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

Visibility and monitoring of 3rd party maintenance actions

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

Built-in compliance reporting for your standard

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

Integration with existing cybersecurity infrastructure (SIEM, etc.)

![](_page_10_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_13.jpeg)

### **Recommendations & Key Takeaways**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Organizations should seek to manage risk across people, process and technology

- Appoint a named cybersecurity leader
- Align security strategy with business roadmap to promote safe, secure and resilient operations

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

Cyber hygiene controls are first step to reducing risk

 After that, detection of anomalous activity, threat hunting, and information sharing reduce ability for adversaries to "live" in networks and decrease risk of catastrophic cyberattacks

## +

### Third party / vendor risk management needs to improve

- Software inventory should include Software Bill of Materials (SBOM)
- Monitor third-party maintenance activity
- Information sharing can improve detection and response

# **Questions?**