### BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGE MATERIAL

Kate Skelton, Coastal Navigation Program Manager Navigation Section USACE Headquarters

AAPA Harbors and Navigation Committee Fall Meeting November 10, 2022









### PLACEMENT OF DREDGED MATERIAL

Note: Graphic shows actual 2012-2021 data from across the enterprise



2



## WHAT IS BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL?

Authorized Beneficial Uses:

- Land creation
- Land improvement
- Berm creation
- Shore protection
- **Replacement fill**
- Beach nourishment
- Capping
- **Construction materials**
- Aquaculture
- Topsoil
- Wildlife habitats
- **Fisheries improvement**
- Wetland restoration
- Others



Beneficial uses are defined as "productive and positive uses of dredged material, which cover broad use categories ranging from fish and wildlife habitat development, to human recreation, to industrial/commercial uses" (Engineer Manual 1110-2-5025, 2015).



## HOW ARE WE INCREASING BENEFICIAL USE?



- Quantify and document current practices in Navigation projects to showcase success and highlight potential opportunities to increase beneficial use.
- Develop innovative solutions and partnerships
- Examine and update our existing policies
- Identify and address challenges beneficial use obstacles root cause analysis





## DREDGE MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (DMMP)



A DMMP evaluates dredged material placement alternatives and identifies the base plan placement option.

	Craney Island Design Partners 800 World Trade Center, Norfolk, VA 23510	
Crai	nev Island Eastward Expansion	
Drodgod M	atorial Management & Fill Plan	
Dreugeu Ma	aterial Management & rin rian	
In accorda	unce with VWP Individual Permit No. 09.1641	
in accorde	ince with twir individual rennit No. 00-1041	
	nice with twit matriada reinic No. 00-1041	
	September 2010	
	September 2010 Revised: 3/12/2012	
Revision History; 1. 11/4/2010 - per DRQ comments dated 11/1/201	September 2010 Revised: 3/12/2012	
Revision History; 1. 11/4/2010 - per DR2 comments dated 11/1/201 2. 11/2/1000 - per DR3 comments dated 11/1/201 2. 11/2/1010 - Bergart for micro model/action for	September 2010 Revised: 3/12/2012	
<u>Bardision History;</u> 1. 11/2/2010 - per DRG comments dated 11//201 2. 11/2/2013 - per DRG comment dated 11/21/20 3. 02/12/2011 - Request for mixor modification for	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Bandison History: 1. 11/2020 - ye (FIC comments date 11//2021 2. 11/20202 - per FIC comment date 11//2021 3. 02/22/2012 - Request for mixer modification for Submitted Br-	0 September 2010 Revised: 3/12/2012 00 100 100 100 100 100 100	
Revision History; 1. 11/4/2010 - per DRG comments dated 11/1/201 2. 11/21/2012 - per DRG comments dated 11/1/201 3. 00/12/2012 - Request for mixor modification for Sobmitted By: Virginais Root Authority	0 0 0 0 10 11 11 5 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	
Revision History; 1. 11/4/2010 - per DR2 comments dated 11/1/201 2. 11/21/2012 - per DR2 comments dated 11/1/201 3. 00/12/2012 - Reguest for mixor modification for Submitted By;: Virginia Port Authority 650 Voind Trade Center	0 0 0 10 0 10 10 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Notick District	
Revision History; 1. 11/4/2010 - per DR2 comments dated 11/1/201 2. 11/21/2010 - per DR2 comments dated 11/1/201 3. 00/12/2012 - Request for mixor modification for Submitted By: Virginia Port Authority 600 World Trade Center Norfolik, VA 23510	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	

#### 20 Year DMMPs

- Time consuming (2+ years o complete)
- -Large reports
- -Expensive (can cost \$2M+)
- -Inflexible
- -20+ year outlook -Beneficial Use and Regional Sediment Management difficult

#### 5 Year Regional DMMPs

-Dynamic/Flexible -Operational report length -Active Stakeholder engagement -Funding informed -Realistic out-year planning to incorporate optimization -Maximize Beneficial Use



# WHAT IS THE FEDERAL STANDARD?



#### 33 CFR 335.7 Definitions.

"Federal standard means the dredged material disposal alternative or alternatives identified by the Corps which represent the least costly alternatives consistent with sound engineering practices and meeting the environmental standards established by the Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1) evaluation process or ocean dumping criteria."



A state's desired dredging methods, placement locations, or other requirements that exceed the federal standard can usually be accommodated to "the maximum extent practicable," so long as the state or non-federal sponsor agrees to pay any difference between the cost of implementing the federal standard and the cost of implementing the state's requirements.





#### Renews the Congressional commitment to beneficial use (BU) of dredged material by:

- (a) establishing a national policy to maximize the beneficial use of material obtained from Corps projects; requiring the Corps to calculate the economic and environmental benefits of the beneficial use of dredged material when calculating the Federal Standard AND amending section 204(d) of WRDA 1992 to direct that other-than-least-cost placements of dredged material for certain purposes be funded using appropriations available for construction or operation and maintenance of the water resources development project producing the dredged material
- (b) increasing the number of beneficial use of dredged material demonstration projects to 35 projects,
- (c) directing the Corps to develop five-year regional dredged material management plans, and
- (d) emphasizing greater coordination across the Corps' dredging contracts.



# U.S.ARMY

## SECTION 125(a) IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE

Section 125(a) Implementation Guidance issued 7 November 2022

- USACE may cost-share in the incremental cost of beneficial use placement of dredged material above the Federal Standard base plan during construction or O&M of a Federal navigation project under Section 204(d) of WRDA 1992.
- The \$10M per placement event limit still applies, placements may be periodic or reoccurring, each placement must be justified.
- If authorized AER or beach renourishment project has capacity for dredge material, AER or Beach nourishment project funds may be used for the Federal share of the incremental costs, project funds will not count towards the \$10M limit.
- A detailed incremental analysis is only required for projects where the Federal share of the incremental costs are 25% or more than the base plan costs.

$\bigstar$	
U.S.ARMY	

## **SECTION 1122 UPDATE**

#### Section 125(b) adds 10 Sec. 1122 projects (35 total)

- Implementation guidance is complete (3 Jan 2018) and will be updated after lessons learned have been captured from the first 10 pilot projects.
- -3 Projects Completed
  - Crab Bank Seabird Sanctuary, SC
  - Deer Island Lagoon, MS
  - Barnegat Inlet, NJ
- -5 Projects Work in FY23
  - Mississippi Upper Pool 4, WI (contract awarded)
  - Beach Protection in Four IL Communities, IL
  - San Francisco Strategic Placement, CA
  - Haleiwa Beach Restoration, HI
  - Condado Lagoon, PR
- 1 Project working through preferred plan, anticipate FY24 award, pending funds
  - Hickory Cove, TX
- 1 Project Terminated

File Name

Grays Harbor South Jetty, WA





## SECTION 125(c) IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE

Section 125(c) IG released October 2021 Supplemental Guidance for 5-yr DMMPs released July 2022

- Easy to understand and implement
- Emphasizes stakeholder engagement
- Clearly defines BU per EM 5025
- Integrates budget package submittals to show regionalization, optimization and cross BL opportunities
- Retains Federal Standard (FS), requires true cost of dredging combined with comprehensive benefits
- Establishes Beneficial Use Decision Document Integration (BUDDI) that is an addendum to the 20yr DMMP, can correct the FS, add BU sites, extend disposal capacity without reopening full 20-yr level study
- 5-yr approval at Division to ensure regional coordination



Baltimore District, Stakeholder Engagement and BU projects



## **Questions?**



11

Dredging project manager monitoring dredged sediment placement at the Jekyll Creek Marsh Restoration and Wetland Habitat development Beneficial Use of Dredged Material project, Georgia. (Photo by Clay McCoy, USACE Jacksonville)